arXiv:1105.1528v1 [math.AP] 8 May 2011

Adams type inequalities and related elliptic partial differential equations in dimension four

Yunyan Yang

Department of Mathematics, Renmin University of China, Beijing 100872, P. R. China

---

Abstract

Motivated by Ruf-Sani's recent work, we prove an Adams type inequality and a singular Adams type inequality in the whole four dimensional Euclidean space. As applications of those inequalities, a class of elliptic partial differential equations are considered. Existence of nontrivial weak solutions and multiplicity results are obtained via the mountain-pass theorem and the Ekeland's variational principle. This is a continuation of our previous work about singular Trudinger-Moser type inequality.

Key words: Trudinger-Moser inequality, Adams inequality, Mountain-pass theorem, Ekeland's variational principle
2000 MSC: 35J60, 35B33, 35J20

---

1. Introduction and main results

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a smooth bounded domain. The classical Trudinger-Moser inequality [26, 29, 36] says

$$\sup_{u\in W_0^{1,n}(\Omega),\, \|\nabla u\|_{L^n(\Omega)}\le 1} \int_\Omega e^{\alpha|u|^{\frac{n}{n-1}}}dx < \infty \tag{1.1}$$

for all $\alpha \le \alpha_n = n\omega_{n-1}^{1/(n-1)}$, where ω_{n-1} is the area of the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^n$. (1.1) is sharp in the sense that for any $\alpha > \alpha_n$, the integrals in (1.1) are still finite, but the supremum of the integrals are infinite. (1.1) plays an essential role in the study of the following partial differential equations

$$\begin{cases} -\mathrm{div}(|\nabla u|^{n-2}\nabla u) = f(x,u) \ \text{ in } \ \Omega \\ u \in W_0^{1,n}(\Omega)\setminus\{0\}, \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

where, roughly speaking, $f(x,u)$ behaves like $e^{|u|^{n/(n-1)}}$ as $|u| \to \infty$. Problem (1.2) and similar problems were studied by many authors. Here we mention Atkinson-Peletier [10], Carleson-Chang [12], Adimurthi et al. [3]-[7], de Figueiredo-Miyagaki-Ruf [14], Panda [28], J. M. do Ó [16], de Figueiredo-do Ó-Ruf [13], Silva-Soares [33], Yang-Zhao [38], do Ó-Yang [19], Lam-Lu [23] and the references therein.

Email address: `yunyanyang@ruc.edu.cn` (Yunyan Yang)

When $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$, the integrals in (1.1) are infinite. To get a Trudinger-Moser type inequality in this case, D. Cao [11] proposed the following: $\forall \alpha < 4\pi$, $\forall M > 0$,

$$\sup_{\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u|^2 dx \leq 1,\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u^2 dx \leq M} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(e^{\alpha u^2} - 1 \right) dx < \infty, \tag{1.3}$$

which is equivalent to saying that for any $\tau > 0$ and $\alpha < 4\pi$,

$$\sup_{\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(|\nabla u|^2 + \tau u^2 \right) dx \leq 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(e^{\alpha u^2} - 1 \right) dx < \infty. \tag{1.4}$$

(1.3) was independently generalized by Panda [27] and J. M. do Ó [15] to n-dimensional case. Later Adachi and Tanaka [1] gave another type of generalization. (1.3) and its high dimensional generalizations were extensively used to study the equation

$$-\mathrm{div}(|\nabla u|^{n-2} \nabla u) + V(x)|u|^{n-2} u = f(x, u) \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where $f(x, u)$ behaves like $e^{\alpha |u|^{n/(n-1)}}$ as $|u| \to \infty$. See for examples [9, 11, 15, 18, 27].

Notice that (1.3) or (1.4) is a subcritical Trudinger-Moser type inequality in the whole Euclidean space. While the critical inequality was obtained by B. Ruf [31] in dimension two and Li-Ruf [24] in general dimension. Using a simple variable substitution, Adimurthi-Sandeep [4] established a singular Trudinger-Moser inequality, which is generalized to the whole $\mathbb{R}^n$ by Adimurthi-Yang [8], namely

$$\sup_{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (|\nabla u|^n + \tau |u|^n) dx \leq 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{e^{\alpha |u|^{n/(n-1)}} - \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \frac{1}{k!} |u|^{kn/(n-1)}}{|x|^\beta} dx < \infty, \tag{1.5}$$

where $0 \leq \beta < n$, $\alpha/\alpha_n + \beta/n \leq 1$, τ is any fixed positive real number. When $\beta = 0$ and $\tau = 1$, (1.5) is the standard critical Trudinger-Moser type inequality [24, 31]. In [8] we also employed (1.5) to obtain existence of weak solutions to the equation

$$-\mathrm{div}(|\nabla u|^{n-2} \nabla u) + V(x)|u|^{n-2} u = \frac{f(x, u)}{|x|^\beta} + \epsilon h \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where $f(x, u)$ behaves like $e^{\alpha |u|^{n/(n-1)}}$ as $|u| \to \infty$, $\epsilon > 0$, h belongs to the dual space of $W^{1,n}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Similar problems were also studied by J. M. do Ó and M. de Souza [17] in the case $n = 2$.

Our aim is to derive similar results to [8] for bi-Laplacian operator in dimension four. The essential tool will be the Adams type inequality in the whole $\mathbb{R}^4$. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^4$ be a smooth bounded domain. As a generalization of the Trudinger-Moser inequality, Adams inequality [2] reads

$$\sup_{u \in W_0^{2,2}(\Omega),\ \int_\Omega |\Delta u|^2 dx \leq 1} \int_\Omega e^{32\pi^2 u^2} dx < \infty. \tag{1.6}$$

This inequality was extended by Tasi [34] (see also Theorem 3.1 in [32]), namely

$$\sup_{u \in W^{2,2}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,2}(\Omega),\ \int_\Omega |\Delta u|^2 dx \leq 1} \int_\Omega e^{32\pi^2 u^2} dx < \infty. \tag{1.7}$$

Also the integrals in (1.6) will be infinite when Ω is replaced by the whole $\mathbb{R}^4$. But B. Ruf and F. Sani [32] were able to establish the corresponding Adams type inequality in $\mathbb{R}^4$, say

Theorem A (Ruf-Sani). *There holds*

$$\sup_{u\in W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^4),\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^4}(-\Delta u+u)^2dx\leq 1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^4}(e^{32\pi^2u^2}-1)dx<\infty. \tag{1.8}$$

Furthermore this inequality is sharp, i.e. if $32\pi^2$ *is replaced by any* $\alpha>32\pi^2$*, then the supremum is infinite.*

In fact they obtained more in [32], but here we focus on four dimensional case. Noticing that for all $u\in W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^4)$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^4}(-\Delta u+u)^2dx=\int_{\mathbb{R}^4}(|\Delta u|^2+2|\nabla u|^2+u^2)dx,$$

one can rewrite (1.8) as

$$\sup_{u\in W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^4),\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^4}(|\Delta u|^2+2|\nabla u|^2+u^2)dx\leq 1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^4}(e^{32\pi^2u^2}-1)dx<\infty. \tag{1.9}$$

One of our goals is the following:

Theorem 1.1. *Let* $0\leq\beta<4$*. Then for all* $\alpha>0$ *and* $u\in W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^4)$*, there holds*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^4}\frac{e^{\alpha u^2}-1}{|x|^\beta}dx<\infty. \tag{1.10}$$

Furthermore, assume τ *and* σ *are two positive constants, we have for all* $\alpha<32\pi^2\left(1-\frac{\beta}{4}\right)$*,*

$$\sup_{u\in W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^4),\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^4}(|\Delta u|^2+\tau|\nabla u|^2+\sigma u^2)dx\leq 1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^4}\frac{e^{\alpha u^2}-1}{|x|^\beta}dx<\infty. \tag{1.11}$$

When $\alpha>32\pi^2\left(1-\frac{\beta}{4}\right)$*, the supremum is infinite.*

We remark that the inequality (1.11) in Theorem 1.1 is only subcritical case. How to establish it in the critical case $\alpha=32\pi^2(1-\beta/4)$ is still open. In Section 2, we will show that Theorem 1.1 can be derived from the following:

Theorem 1.2. *For all* $\alpha>0$ *and* $u\in W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^4)$*, there holds*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^4}\left(e^{\alpha u^2}-1\right)dx<\infty. \tag{1.12}$$

For all constants $\tau>0$ *and* $\sigma>0$*, there holds*

$$\sup_{u\in W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^4),\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^4}(|\Delta u|^2+\tau|\nabla u|^2+\sigma u^2)dx\leq 1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^4}\left(e^{32\pi^2u^2}-1\right)dx<\infty. \tag{1.13}$$

Furthermore this inequality is sharp, i.e. if $32\pi^2$ *is replaced by any* $\alpha > 32\pi^2$, *then the supremum is infinite.*

Though the second part of Theorem 1.2 is similar to Theorem A, (1.12) and (1.13) are more suitable to use than (1.8) or (1.9) when considering the related partial differential equations. This is also our next goal. Precisely Theorem 1.1 can be applied to study the existence of weak solutions to the following nonlinear equation

$$\Delta^2 u - \operatorname{div}(a(x)\nabla u) + b(x)u = \frac{f(x,u)}{|x|^\beta} + \epsilon h(x) \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^4. \tag{1.14}$$

Here and throughout this paper we assume $0 \leq \beta < 4$, $a(x)$, $b(x)$ are two continuous functions satisfying

(A_1) there exist two positive constants a_0 and b_0 such that $a(x) \geq a_0$ and $b(x) \geq b_0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^4$;

(A_2) $\frac{1}{b(x)} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^4)$.

We also assume the following growth condition on the nonlinearity $f(x, s)$:

(H_1) There exist constants $\alpha_0, b_1, b_2 > 0$ and $\gamma \geq 1$ such that for all $(x, s) \in \mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{R}$,

$$|f(x,s)| \leq b_1|s| + b_2|s|^\gamma \left(e^{\alpha_0 s^2} - 1\right).$$

(H_2) There exists $\mu > 2$ such that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^4$ and $s \neq 0$,

$$0 < \mu F(x,s) \equiv \mu \int_0^s f(x,t)dt \leq s f(x,s).$$

(H_3) There exist constants $R_0, M_0 > 0$ such that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^4$ and $|s| \geq R_0$,

$$0 < F(x,s) \leq M_0|f(x,s)|.$$

Define a function space

$$E = \left\{ u \in W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^4) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \left(|\Delta u|^2 + a(x)|\nabla u|^2 + b(x)u^2\right) dx < \infty \right\}. \tag{1.15}$$

We say that $u \in E$ is a weak solution of problem (1.14) if for all $\varphi \in E$ we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} (\Delta u \Delta\varphi + a(x)\nabla u \nabla\varphi + b(x)u\varphi)\, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \frac{f(x,u)}{|x|^\beta}\varphi dx + \epsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} h\varphi dx,$$

where $h \in E^*$. Here and in the sequel we denote the dual space of E by E^*. For all $u \in E$, we denote for simplicity the norm of u by

$$||u||_E = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \left(|\Delta u|^2 + a(x)|\nabla u|^2 + b(x)u^2\right) dx \right)^{1/2}. \tag{1.16}$$

For $\beta : 0 \leq \beta < 4$, we define a singular eigenvalue by

$$\lambda_\beta = \inf_{u \in E,\, u \not\equiv 0} \frac{||u||_E^2}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \frac{u^2}{|x|^\beta} dx}. \tag{1.17}$$

If $\beta = 0$, then by (A_1), obviously we have $\lambda_0 \geq b_0 > 0$. If $0 < \beta < 4$, the continuous embedding of $W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^4) \hookrightarrow L^q(\mathbb{R}^4)$ $(\forall q \geq 2)$ together with the Hölder inequality implies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \frac{u^2}{|x|^\beta} dx \leq \int_{|x|>1} u^2 dx + \left(\int_{|x|\leq 1} |u|^{2t} dx \right)^{1/t} \left(\int_{|x|\leq 1} \frac{1}{|x|^{\beta t'}} dx \right)^{1/t'} \leq C\|u\|^2_{W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^4)}, \tag{1.18}$$

where $1/t + 1/t' = 1$, $0 < \beta t' < 4$ and $\|u\|^2_{W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^4)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \left(|\nabla^2 u|^2 + |\nabla u|^2 + u^2 \right) dx$. Standard elliptic estimates (see for example [20], Chapter 9) imply that the above $W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^4)$-norm is equivalent to

$$\|u\|_{W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^4)} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \left(|\Delta u|^2 + |\nabla u|^2 + u^2 \right) dx \right)^{1/2}. \tag{1.19}$$

In the sequel, we use (1.19) as the norm of function in $W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^4)$. Combining (1.16), (1.18), (1.19) and the assumption (A_1), we have $\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \frac{u^2}{|x|^\beta} dx \leq C\|u\|^2_E$. Hence, by (1.17), we conclude $\lambda_\beta > 0$.

When $\epsilon = 0$, (1.14) becomes

$$\Delta^2 u - \operatorname{div}(a(x)\nabla u) + b(x)u = \frac{f(x,u)}{|x|^\beta}. \tag{1.20}$$

Now we state an application of Theorem 1.1 as follows:

Theorem 1.3. *Assume that $a(x)$ and $b(x)$ are two continuous functions satisfying (A_1) and (A_2). $f : \mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function and the hypothesis (H_1), (H_2) and (H_3) hold. Furthermore we assume*

(H_4) $\limsup_{s\to 0} \frac{2|F(x,s)|}{s^2} < \lambda_\beta$ uniformly with respect to $x \in \mathbb{R}^4$;

(H_5) $\liminf_{s\to+\infty} sf(x,s)e^{-\alpha_0 s^2} = +\infty$ uniformly with respect to $x \in \mathbb{R}^4$.

Then the equation (1.20) has a nontrivial mountain-pass type weak solution $u \in E$.

We remark that the result in Theorem 1.3 is stronger than Theorem 1.2 of [8] in the case $\epsilon = 0$. One reason is that E is compactly embedded in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^4)$ for all $q \geq 1$ (see Lemma 3.6 below), but E is compactly embedded in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for all $q \geq N$ under the assumptions in [8]. The other reason is that here we have the additional assumption (H_5).

When $\epsilon \neq 0$, we have the following:

Theorem 1.4. *Assume that $a(x)$ and $b(x)$ are two continuous functions satisfying (A_1) and (A_2). $f : \mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function and the hypothesis (H_1), (H_2) and (H_3) hold. Furthermore we assume (H_4). Then there exists $\epsilon_1 > 0$ such that if $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_1$, then the problem (1.14) has a weak solution of mountain-pass type.*

Theorem 1.5. *Assume that $a(x)$ and $b(x)$ are two continuous functions satisfying (A_1) and (A_2). $f : \mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function and the hypothesis (H_1), (H_2) and (H_4) hold. Furthermore assume $h \not\equiv 0$. Then there exists $\epsilon_2 > 0$ such that if $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_2$, then the problem (1.14) has* *a weak solution with negative energy.*

The most interesting question is that under what conditions the two solutions obtained in Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.5 are distinct. Precisely we have the following:

Theorem 1.6. *Assume that* $a(x)$ *and* $b(x)$ *are two continuous functions satisfying* (A_1) *and* (A_2). $f : \mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ *is a continuous function and the hypothesis* (H_1), (H_2), (H_3), (H_4) *and* (H_5) *hold. Furthermore assume* $h \not\equiv 0$. *Then there exists* $\epsilon_3 > 0$ *such that if* $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_3$, *then the problem (1.14) has two distinct weak solutions.*

Before ending the introduction, we give an example of $f(x, s)$ satisfying $(H_1) - (H_5)$, say

$$f(x, s) = \psi(x)s(e^{\alpha_0 s^2} - 1), \tag{1.21}$$

where $\alpha_0 > 0$ and $\psi(x)$ is a continuous function with $0 < c_1 \le \psi \le c_2$ for constants c_1 and c_2. Obviously (H_1) is satisfied. Integrating (1.21), we have

$$F(x, s) = \int_0^s f(x, t)dt = \frac{1}{2\alpha_0}\psi(x)\left(e^{\alpha_0 s^2} - 1 - \alpha_0 s^2\right). \tag{1.22}$$

For $2 < \mu \le 4$, we have for $s \ne 0$,

$$0 < \mu F(x, s) = \frac{\mu}{2\alpha_0}\psi(x)\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\frac{\alpha_0^k s^{2k}}{k!} \le \frac{\mu}{4}\psi(x)s^2\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\frac{\alpha_0^{k-1}s^{2(k-1)}}{(k-1)!} \le sf(x, s).$$

Hence (H_2) holds. It follows from (1.21) and (1.22) that $0 < F(x, s) \le \frac{1}{2\alpha_0}f(x, s)$ for $|s| \ge 1$. Thus (H_3) is satisfied. By (1.22), we have $F(x, s)/s^2 \to 0$ as $s \to 0$. Hence (H_4) holds. Finally (H_5) follows from (1.21) immediately.

We organize this paper as follows: In Section 2, we prove an Adams type inequality and a singular Adams type inequality in the whole $\mathbb{R}^4$ (Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2). Applications of singular Adams inequality (Theorems 1.3-1.6) will be shown in Section 3.

2. Adams type inequality in the whole $\mathbb{R}^4$

In this section, we will prove Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2. Let us first prove Theorem 1.2 by using the density of $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4)$ in $W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^4)$ and an argument of Ruf-Sani [32].

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Firstly we prove (1.13). $\forall \tau > 0$, $\sigma > 0$, we denote $c_0 = \min\{\tau/2, \sqrt{\sigma}\}$. Let u be a function belonging to $W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^4)$ and satisfying

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^4}(-\Delta u + c_0 u)^2 dx = 1,$$

or equivalently

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^4}(|\Delta u|^2 + 2c_0|\nabla u|^2 + c_0^2 u^2)dx = 1.$$

By the density of $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4)$ in $W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^4)$, without loss of generality, we can find a sequence of functions $u_k \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4)$ such that $u_k \to u$ in $W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^4)$ as $k \to \infty$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^4}(-\Delta u_k + c_0 u_k)^2 dx = 1$. For otherwise we can use

$$\widetilde{u}_k = \frac{u_k}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^4}(-\Delta u_k + c_0 u_k)^2 dx\right)^{1/2}}$$

instead of u_k. Now suppose $\operatorname{supp} u_k \subset \mathbb{B}_{R_k}$ for any fixed k. Let $f_k = -\Delta u_k + c_0 u_k$. Consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta v_k + c_0 v_k = f_k^\sharp \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{B}_{R_k} \\ v_k \in W_0^{1,2}(\mathbb{B}_{R_k}), \end{cases}$$

where $f_k^\sharp$ is the Schwarz decreasing rearrangement of f_k (see for example [21]). By the property of rearrangement, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_{R_k}} (-\Delta v_k + c_0 v_k)^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{B}_{R_k}} (-\Delta u_k + c_0 u_k)^2 dx = 1. \tag{2.1}$$

It follows from Trombetti-Vazquez [35] that v_k is radially symmetric and

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_{R_k}} (e^{32\pi^2 {u_k}^2} - 1) dx = \int_{\mathbb{B}_{R_k}} (e^{32\pi^2 {u_k^\sharp}^2} - 1) dx \le \int_{\mathbb{B}_{R_k}} (e^{32\pi^2 {v_k}^2} - 1) dx. \tag{2.2}$$

The radial lemma ([22], Lemma 1.1, Chapter 6) implies

$$|v_k(x)| \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\pi} \frac{1}{|x|^{3/2}} \|v_k\|_{W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^4)}. \tag{2.3}$$

The equality (2.1) implies that

$$\|v_k\|_{W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^4)} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}_{R_k}} (|\nabla v_k|^2 + v_k^2) dx\right)^{1/2} \le \sqrt{\frac{1}{2c_0} + \frac{1}{c_0^2}}. \tag{2.4}$$

Choose $r_0 = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi^2}\left(\frac{1}{2c_0} + \frac{1}{c_0^2}\right)\right)^{1/3}$. If $R_k \le r_0$, then (1.7) and (2.1) imply

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_{R_k}} (e^{32\pi^2 v_k^2} - 1) dx \le C \tag{2.5}$$

for some constant C depending only on c_0. If $R_k > r_0$, (2.3) implies that $|v_k(x)| \le 1$ when $|x| \ge r_0$. Thus we have by (2.1),

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_{R_k} \setminus \mathbb{B}_{r_0}} (e^{32\pi^2 v_k^2} - 1) dx \le \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(32\pi^2)^j}{j!} \int_{\mathbb{B}_{R_k}} v_k^2 dx \le \frac{1}{c_0^2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(32\pi^2)^j}{j!}. \tag{2.6}$$

On $\mathbb{B}_{r_0}$, we have for any $\epsilon > 0$ by using the Young inequality

$$v_k^2(x) \le (1+\epsilon)(v_k(x) - v_k(r_0))^2 + \left(1 + \frac{1}{\epsilon}\right) v_k^2(r_0).$$

Take ϵ such that

$$\frac{1}{1+\epsilon} = \int_{\mathbb{B}_{R_k}} |\Delta v_k|^2 dx = 1 - 2c_0 \int_{\mathbb{B}_{R_k}} |\nabla v_k|^2 dx - c_0^2 \int_{\mathbb{B}_{R_k}} v_k^2 dx.$$

It follows that

$$1 + \frac{1}{\epsilon} = \frac{1}{2c_0 \int_{\mathbb{B}_{R_k}} |\nabla v_k|^2 dx + c_0^2 \int_{\mathbb{B}_{R_k}} v_k^2 dx} \leq \frac{1}{\min\{2c_0, c_0^2\} \|v_k\|_{W^{1,2}}^2}.$$

This together with (2.3) and (2.4) gives

$$\left(1 + \frac{1}{\epsilon}\right) v_k^2(r_0) \leq \frac{1}{\min\{2c_0, c_0^2\}} \frac{1}{2\pi^2 r_0^3} = \frac{1}{\min\{2c_0, c_0^2\}\left(\frac{1}{2c_0} + \frac{1}{c_0^2}\right)} < 1.$$

Notice that $v_k(x) - v_k(r_0) \in W^{2,2}(\mathbb{B}_{r_0}) \cap W_0^{1,2}(\mathbb{B}_{r_0})$ and $\int_{\mathbb{B}_{R_k}} |\Delta v_k|^2 dx \geq \int_{\mathbb{B}_{r_0}} |\Delta(v_k - v_k(r_0))|^2 dx$, we obtain by (1.7)

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_{r_0}} (e^{32\pi^2 v_k^2} - 1) dx \leq C$$

for some constant C depending only on c_0. This together with (2.2), (2.5), (2.6) and Fatou's Lemma implies that there exists a constant C depending only on c_0 such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} (e^{32\pi^2 u^2} - 1) dx \leq \liminf_{k\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{B}_{R_k}} (e^{32\pi^2 u_k^2} - 1) dx \leq \liminf_{k\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{B}_{R_k}} (e^{32\pi^2 v_k^2} - 1) dx \leq C. \tag{2.7}$$

Notice that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} (|\Delta u|^2 + \tau|\nabla u|^2 + \sigma u^2) dx \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} (-\Delta u + c_0 u)^2 dx.$$

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\int_{\mathbb{R}^4}(|\Delta u|^2 + \tau|\nabla u|^2 + \sigma u^2)dx \leq 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \left(e^{32\pi^2 u^2} - 1\right) dx &\leq \sup_{\int_{\mathbb{R}^4}(-\Delta u + c_0 u)^2 dx \leq 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \left(e^{32\pi^2 u^2} - 1\right) dx \\ &= \sup_{\int_{\mathbb{R}^4}(-\Delta u + c_0 u)^2 dx = 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \left(e^{32\pi^2 u^2} - 1\right) dx. \end{aligned}$$

This together with (2.7) implies (1.13).

Secondly, for $\alpha > 32\pi^2$, we employ a sequence of functions u_ϵ constructed in Section 2 of [25] (see also (33) in [32]). Let $\widetilde{u_\epsilon} = u_\epsilon / \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^4}(|\Delta u_\epsilon|^2 + \tau|\nabla u_\epsilon|^2 + \sigma u_\epsilon^2) dx\right)^{1/2}$. A straightforward calculation shows that

$$\sup_{u \in W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^4),\, \int_{\mathbb{R}^4}(|\Delta u|^2 + \tau|\nabla u|^2 + \sigma u^2)dx \leq 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \left(e^{32\pi^2 u^2} - 1\right) dx \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \left(e^{32\pi^2 \widetilde{u}_\epsilon^2} - 1\right) dx \to +\infty$$

as $\epsilon \to 0$. Hence $32\pi^2$ is the best constant for (1.13).

Thirdly we prove (1.12). Let $\alpha > 0$ be a real number and u be a function belonging to $W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^4)$. By the density of $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4)$ in $W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^4)$, there exists some $u_0 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4)$ such that

$$\|u - u_0\|_{W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^4)} < \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\alpha}}.$$

Here we use (1.19) as the definition of $W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^4)$-norm. Thus

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} (|\Delta(u-u_0)|^2 + |\nabla(u-u_0)|^2 + (u-u_0)^2)dx \le \frac{1}{2\alpha}.$$

Assume $\operatorname{supp} u_0 \subset \mathbb{B}_R$ for some $R > 0$ and $|u_0| \le M$ for some $M > 0$. Using the inequality $(a+b)^2 \le 2a^2 + 2b^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \left(e^{\alpha u^2} - 1\right) dx &\le \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \left(e^{2\alpha(u-u_0)^2 + 2\alpha u_0^2} - 1\right) dx \\ &\le e^{2\alpha M^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \left(e^{2\alpha(u-u_0)^2} - 1\right) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \left(e^{2\alpha u_0^2} - 1\right) dx \\ &\le e^{2\alpha M^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \left(e^{2\alpha(u-u_0)^2} - 1\right) dx + (e^{2\alpha M^2} - 1)|\mathbb{B}_R|, \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

where $|\mathbb{B}_R|$ denotes the volume of $\mathbb{B}_R$. By (1.13) with $\tau = 1$ and $\sigma = 1$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \left(e^{2\alpha(u-u_0)^2} - 1\right) dx \le C$$

for some universal constant C. Thus (1.12) follows from (2.8) immediately. $\square$

Now we use the Hölder inequality and Theorem 1.2 to prove Theorem 1.1. To do this, we need a technical lemma, namely

Lemma 2.1. *For all $p \ge 1$ and $t \ge 1$, we have $(t-1)^p \le t^p - 1$. In particular $\left(e^{s^2} - 1\right)^p \le e^{ps^2} - 1$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $p \ge 1$.*

Proof. For all $p \ge 1$ and $t \ge 1$, we set

$$\varphi(t) = t^p - 1 - (t-1)^p.$$

Since the derivative of φ satisfies

$$\frac{d}{dt}\varphi(t) = pt^{p-1} - p(t-1)^{p-1} \ge 0, \ \forall t \ge 1,$$

thus $\varphi(t) \ge 0$ for all $t \ge 1$ and the lemma follows immediately. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.1: For any $\alpha > 0$ and $u \in W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^4)$, we have by using the Hölder inequality and Lemma 2.1

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \frac{e^{\alpha u^2} - 1}{|x|^\beta} dx &= \int_{|x|>1} \frac{e^{\alpha u^2} - 1}{|x|^\beta} dx + \int_{|x|\le 1} \frac{e^{\alpha u^2} - 1}{|x|^\beta} dx \\ &\le \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} (e^{\alpha u^2} - 1) dx + \left(\int_{|x|\le 1} \left(e^{\alpha u^2} - 1\right)^p dx\right)^{1/p} \left(\int_{|x|\le 1} \frac{1}{|x|^{\beta q}} dx\right)^{1/q} \\ &\le \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} (e^{\alpha u^2} - 1) dx + C\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \left(e^{\alpha p u^2} - 1\right) dx\right)^{1/p} \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

for some constant C depending only on q and β, where $q > 1$ is a real number such that $\beta q < 4$ and $1/p + 1/q = 1$. This together with (1.12) implies (1.10).

Assume $\alpha < 32\pi^2(1-\beta/4)$ and $u \in W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \left(|\Delta u|^2 + \tau|\nabla u|^2 + \sigma u^2\right) dx \le 1.$$

Coming back to (2.9), since $\beta q < 4$ and $1/p + 1/q = 1$, one has

$$\alpha p < 32\pi^2 \frac{1-\beta/4}{1-1/q}.$$

We can further choose q sufficiently close to $4/\beta$ such that $\alpha p < 32\pi^2$. Hence (1.11) follows from (2.9) and (1.13) immediately. $\square$

3. Partial differential equations related to Adams type inequality in $\mathbb{R}^4$

In this section, we will use the mountain-pass theory to discuss the existence of solutions to the problem (1.14). Precisely we will prove Theorems 1.3-1.6. Firstly we construct the functional framework corresponding to (1.14). Secondly we analyze the geometry of the functional. Thirdly we use the mountain-pass theory to prove Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4. Finally we use compactness analysis to prove Theorem 1.5 and Theorem 1.6. Throughout this section we assume that $f : \mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function.

3.1. The functional

Now we use the notations of Section 1. For $u \in W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^4)$, we define a functional

$$J_\epsilon(u) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \left(|\Delta u|^2 + a(x)|\nabla u|^2 + b(x)u^2\right) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \frac{F(x,u)}{|x|^\beta} dx - \epsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} hudx,$$

where $h \in E^*$, the dual space of E (see (1.15)). When $\epsilon = 0$, we write

$$J(u) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \left(|\Delta u|^2 + a(x)|\nabla u|^2 + b(x)u^2\right) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \frac{F(x,u)}{|x|^\beta} dx.$$

Here $F(x,s) = \int_0^s f(x,s)ds$. Since we assume $f(x,s)$, $a(x)$, $b(x)$ are all continuous functions and (A_1), (A_2), (H_1) hold, it follows from Theorem 1.1 that J_ϵ or J is well defined and

$$J_\epsilon,\ J \in C^1(E, \mathbb{R}). \tag{3.1}$$

Let us explain how to show (3.1). It suffices to show that if $u_j \to u_\infty$ in E, then $J_\epsilon(u_j) \to J_\epsilon(u_\infty)$ and $J'_\epsilon(u_j) \to J'_\epsilon(u_\infty)$ in E^* as $j \to \infty$. We point out a crucial fact: for all $q \ge 1$, E is embedded in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^4)$ compactly and postpone its proof to Lemma 3.6 below. By (H_1),

$$|F(x,u_j)| \le b_1 u_j^2 + b_2|u_j|^{\gamma+1}\left(e^{\alpha_0 u_j^2} - 1\right). \tag{3.2}$$

Firstly, since $\|u_j\|_E$ is bounded and $E \hookrightarrow L^q(\mathbb{R}^4)$ is compact for all $q \geq 1$, we may assume $u_j \to u_\infty$ in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^4)$ for all $q \geq 1$. An easy computation gives

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \frac{|u_j|^q}{|x|^\beta} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \frac{|u_\infty|^q}{|x|^\beta} dx \quad \text{for all} \quad q \geq 1. \tag{3.3}$$

Nextly we claim that

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \frac{|u_j|^{\gamma+1}\left(e^{\alpha_0 u_j^2} - 1\right)}{|x|^\beta} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \frac{|u_\infty|^{\gamma+1}\left(e^{\alpha_0 u_\infty^2} - 1\right)}{|x|^\beta} dx. \tag{3.4}$$

For this purpose, we define a function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^4 \times [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\varphi(x, s) = \frac{s^{\gamma+1}\left(e^{\alpha_0 s^2} - 1\right)}{|x|^\beta}.$$

By the mean value theorem

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi(x, |u_j|) - \varphi(x, |u_\infty|)| &\leq |\partial\varphi/\partial s(\xi)||u_j - u_\infty| \\ &\leq (\eta(|u_j|) + \eta(|u_\infty|))\frac{|u_j - u_\infty|}{|x|^\beta}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

where ξ lies between $|u_j(x)|$ and $|u_\infty(x)|$, $\eta : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ is a function defined by

$$\eta(s) = \left((\gamma + 1)s^\gamma + 2\alpha_0 s^{\gamma+2}\right)\left(e^{\alpha_0 s^2} - 1\right) + 2\alpha_0 s^{\gamma+2}.$$

Using Lemma 2.1 and the inequalities $(a + b)^2 \leq 2a^2 + 2b^2$, $ab - 1 \leq \frac{a^p-1}{p} + \frac{b^r-1}{r}$, where $a, b \geq 0$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{r} = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \left(e^{\alpha_0 u_j^2} - 1\right)^q dx &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \left(e^{2\alpha_0 q(u_j - u_\infty)^2 + 2\alpha_0 q u_\infty^2} - 1\right) dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{p}\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \left(e^{2\alpha_0 qp(u_j-u_\infty)^2} - 1\right) dx + \frac{1}{r}\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \left(e^{2\alpha_0 qr u_\infty^2} - 1\right) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Recalling that $\|u_j - u_\infty\|_E \to 0$ as $j \to \infty$ and applying Theorem 1.2, we can see that

$$\sup_j \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \left(e^{\alpha_0 u_j^2} - 1\right)^q dx < \infty, \quad \forall q \geq 1.$$

This together with the compact embedding $E \hookrightarrow L^q(\mathbb{R}^4)$ for all $q \geq 1$ implies that $\eta(|u_j|) + \eta(|u_\infty|)$ is bounded in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^4)$ for all $q \geq 1$. By (3.3) and (3.5), the Hölder inequality leads to

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} |\varphi(x, |u_j|) - \varphi(x, |u_\infty|)| dx = 0.$$

Hence (3.4) holds. In view of (3.2), we obtain by using (3.3), (3.4) and the generalized Lebesgue's dominated theorem

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \frac{F(x, u_j)}{|x|^\beta} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \frac{F(x, u_\infty)}{|x|^\beta} dx.$$

Therefore $J_\epsilon(u_j) \to J_\epsilon(u_\infty)$ as $j \to \infty$. In a similar way we can prove $J'_\epsilon(u_j) \to J'_\epsilon(u_\infty)$ in E^* as $j \to \infty$. Hence (3.1) holds.

It is easy to see that the critical point u_ϵ of J_ϵ is a weak solution to (1.14) and the critical point u of J is a weak solution to (1.20). Thus, to find weak solutions to (1.14) or (1.20), it suffices to find critical points of J_ϵ or J in the function space E.

3.2. The geometry of the functional

In this subsection, we describe the geometry of the functional J_ϵ.

Lemma 3.1. *Assume that* (H_2) *and* (H_3) *are satisfied. Then* $J_\epsilon(tu) \to -\infty$ *as* $t \to +\infty$, *for all compactly supported* $u \in W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^4) \setminus \{0\}$.

Proof. Assume u is supported in a bounded domain Ω. Since $f(x,s)$ is continuous, in view of (H_2), there exists constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that $F(x,s) \geq c_1|s|^\mu - c_2$ for all $(x,s) \in \overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}$. It then follows that

$$\begin{aligned} J_\epsilon(tu) &= \frac{t^2}{2}\int_\Omega \left(|\Delta u|^2 + a(x)|\nabla u|^2 + b(x)u^2\right)dx - \int_\Omega \frac{F(x,tu)}{|x|^\beta}dx - \epsilon t\int_\Omega hudx \\ &\leq \frac{t^2}{2}\int_\Omega \left(|\Delta u|^2 + a(x)|\nabla u|^2 + b(x)u^2\right)dx - c_1 t^\mu \int_\Omega \frac{|u|^\mu}{|x|^\beta}dx + O(t). \end{aligned}$$

Then the lemma holds since $\mu > 2$. ☐

Lemma 3.2. *Assume that* (A_1), (H_1) *and* (H_4) *hold. Then there exists* $\epsilon_1 > 0$ *such that for any* ϵ : $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_1$, *there exist* $r_\epsilon > 0$, $\vartheta_\epsilon > 0$ *such that* $J_\epsilon(u) \geq \vartheta_\epsilon$ *for all* u *with* $\|u\|_E = r_\epsilon$. *Furthermore* r_ϵ *can be chosen such that* $r_\epsilon \to 0$ *as* $\epsilon \to 0$. *When* $\epsilon = 0$, *there exist* $r_0 > 0$, *such that if* $r \leq r_0$, *then there exists* $\vartheta > 0$ *depending only on* r *such that* $J(u) \geq \vartheta$ *for all* u *with* $\|u\|_E = r$.

Proof. By (H_4), there exist $\tau, \delta > 0$ such that if $|s| \leq \delta$, then

$$|F(x,s)| \leq \frac{\lambda_\beta - \tau}{2}|s|^2$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^4$. By (H_1), there holds for $|s| \geq \delta$

$$\begin{aligned} |F(x,s)| &\leq \int_0^{|s|}\left\{b_1 t + b_2 t^\gamma(e^{\alpha_0 t^2} - 1)\right\}dt \\ &\leq \frac{b_1}{2}s^2 + b_2|s|^{\gamma+1}(e^{\alpha_0 s^2} - 1) \\ &\leq C|s|^q(e^{\alpha_0 s^2} - 1) \end{aligned}$$

for any $q > \gamma + 1 \geq 2$, where C is a constant depending only on b_1, b_2, q and δ. Combining the above two inequalities, we obtain for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$

$$|F(x,s)| \leq \frac{\lambda_\beta - \tau}{2}|s|^2 + C|s|^q(e^{\alpha_0 s^2} - 1). \tag{3.6}$$

Recall that $\|u\|_E$ and λ_β are defined by (1.16) and (1.17) respectively. It follows from (3.6) that

$$\begin{aligned} J_\epsilon(u) &= \frac{1}{2}\|u\|_E^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^4}\frac{F(x,u)}{|x|^\beta}dx - \epsilon\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} hudx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|_E^2 - \frac{\lambda_\beta - \tau}{2\lambda_\beta}\|u\|_E^2 - C\int_{\mathbb{R}^4}\frac{e^{\alpha_0 u^2} - 1}{|x|^\beta}|u|^q dx - \epsilon\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} hudx \\ &\geq \frac{\tau}{2\lambda_\beta}\|u\|_E^2 - C\int_{\mathbb{R}^4}\frac{e^{\alpha_0 u^2} - 1}{|x|^\beta}|u|^q dx - \epsilon\|h\|_{E^*}\|u\|_E, \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

where

$$\|h\|_{E^*} = \sup_{\|\varphi\|_E=1} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} h\varphi dx \right|.$$

Using the Hölder inequality, Lemma 2.1 and the continuous embedding $E \hookrightarrow L^q(\mathbb{R}^4)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \frac{e^{\alpha_0 u^2}-1}{|x|^\beta}|u|^q dx &\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \frac{(e^{\alpha_0 u^2}-1)^{r'}}{|x|^{\beta r'}}dx\right)^{1/r'} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} |u|^{qr}dx\right)^{1/r} \\ &\leq C\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \frac{e^{\alpha_0 r' u^2}-1}{|x|^{\beta r'}}dx\right)^{1/r'} \|u\|_E^q, \qquad (3.8)\end{aligned}$$

where $1/r + 1/r' = 1$, $0 \leq \beta r' < 4$ and C is a constant such that $\|u\|_{L^{qr}(\mathbb{R}^4)} \leq C^{1/q}\|u\|_E$. Here and in the sequel we often denote various constants by the same C. By (A_1),

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \left(|\Delta u|^2 + a_0|\nabla u|^2 + b_0 u^2\right) dx \leq \|u\|_E^2.$$

Theorem 1.1 implies that if

$$\|u\|_E^2 < \frac{16\pi^2}{\alpha_0 r'}\left(1 - \frac{\beta r'}{4}\right), \qquad (3.9)$$

then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \frac{e^{\alpha_0 r' u^2}-1}{|x|^{\beta r'}}dx \leq C$$

for some constant C depending only on α_0, β and r'. This together with (3.8) gives

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \frac{e^{\alpha_0 u^2}-1}{|x|^\beta}|u|^q dx \leq C\|u\|_E^q, \qquad (3.10)$$

provided that u satisfies (3.9). Hence, assuming (3.9), we obtain by combining (3.7) and (3.10)

$$J_\epsilon(u) \geq \|u\|_E \left(\frac{\tau}{2\lambda_\beta}\|u\|_E - C\|u\|_E^{q-1} - \epsilon\|h\|_{E^*}\right). \qquad (3.11)$$

Since $\tau > 0$, there holds for sufficiently small $r > 0$,

$$\frac{\tau}{2\lambda_\beta}r - Cr^{q-1} \geq \frac{\tau}{4\lambda_\beta}r.$$

If $h \not\equiv 0$, for sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$, we may take r_ϵ and ϑ_ϵ such that

$$\frac{\tau}{4\lambda_\beta}r_\epsilon = 2\epsilon\|h\|_{E^*}, \quad \vartheta_\epsilon = \epsilon r_\epsilon\|h\|_{E^*}.$$

This implies $J_\epsilon(u) \geq \vartheta_\epsilon$ for all u with $\|u\|_E = r_\epsilon$ and $r_\epsilon \to 0$ as $\epsilon \to 0$. If $\epsilon = 0$, (3.11) implies

$$J(u) \geq \|u\|_E \left(\frac{\tau}{2\lambda_\beta}\|u\|_E - C\|u\|_E^{q-1}\right).$$

Hence there exists some $r_0 > 0$ such that if $r \leq r_0$, then $J(u) \geq \frac{\tau r^2}{4\lambda_\beta}$ for all u with $\|u\|_E = r$. □

Lemma 3.3. *Assume* $h \not\equiv 0$, (A_1) *and* (H_1) *hold. Then there exist* $\tau > 0$ *and* $v \in E$ *with* $\|v\|_E = 1$ *such that* $J_\epsilon(tv) < 0$ *for all t:* $0 < t < \tau$*. Particularly* $\inf_{\|u\|_E \le \tau} J_\epsilon(u) < 0$.

Proof. For any fixed $h \in E^*$, one can view h as a linear functional defined on E by

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} hudx, \quad \forall u \in E.$$

By (A_1), E is a Hilbert space under the inner product

$$\langle u, v\rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} (\Delta u \Delta v + a(x)\nabla u \nabla v + b(x)uv)\, dx.$$

By the Riesz representation theorem,

$$\Delta^2 u - \mathrm{div}(a(x)\nabla u) + b(x)u = \epsilon h \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^4$$

has a unique weak solution $u \in E$. If $h \not\equiv 0$, then for any fixed $\epsilon > 0$, we have $u \not\equiv 0$ and

$$\epsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} hudx = \|u\|_E^2 > 0.$$

A simple calculation shows

$$\frac{d}{dt} J_\epsilon(tu) = t\|u\|_E^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \frac{f(x, tu)}{|x|^\beta} udx - \epsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} hudx. \tag{3.12}$$

By (H_1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \frac{f(x, tu)}{|x|^\beta} udx\right| &\le b_1|t| \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \frac{u^2}{|x|^\beta} dx + b_2|t|^\gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \frac{e^{\alpha_0 t^2 u^2} - 1}{|x|^\beta} |u|^{1+\gamma} dx \\ &\le \frac{b_1|t|}{\lambda_\beta} \|u\|_E^2 + b_2|t|^\gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \frac{e^{\alpha_0 t^2 u^2} - 1}{|x|^\beta} |u|^{1+\gamma} dx. \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

Using the same argument we prove (3.10), there exists some $t_0 > 0$ such that if $|t| < t_0$, then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \frac{e^{\alpha_0 t^2 u^2} - 1}{|x|^\beta} |u|^{\gamma+1} dx \le C$$

for some constant C depending only on t_0, α_0 and β. It then follows from (3.13) that

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \frac{f(x, tu)}{|x|^\beta} udx = 0.$$

This together with (3.12) implies that there exists some $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\frac{d}{dt} J_\epsilon(tu) < 0,$$

provided that $0 < t < \delta$. Notice that $J_\epsilon(0) = 0$, we have $J_\epsilon(tu) < 0$ for all $0 < t < \delta$. □

3.3. Min-max level

In this subsection, we estimate the min-max level of J_ϵ or J. To do this, we define a sequence of functions $\widetilde{\phi}_n$ by

$$\widetilde{\phi}_n(x) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{\log n}{8\pi^2}} - \frac{n^2}{\sqrt{32\pi^2 \log n}}|x|^2 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{32\pi^2 \log n}} & \text{for} \quad |x| \leq 1/n \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{8\pi^2 \log n}} \log \frac{1}{|x|} & \text{for} \quad 1/n < |x| \leq 1, \\ \zeta_n(x) & \text{for} \quad |x| > 1 \end{cases}$$

where $\zeta_n \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{B}_2(0))$, $\zeta_n \mid_{\partial\mathbb{B}_1(0)} = \zeta_n \mid_{\partial\mathbb{B}_2(0)} = 0$, $\frac{\partial\zeta_n}{\partial\nu} \mid_{\partial\mathbb{B}_1(0)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{8\pi^2 \log n}}$, $\frac{\partial\zeta_n}{\partial\nu} \mid_{\partial\mathbb{B}_2(0)} = 0$, and ζ_n, $|\nabla\zeta_n|$, $\Delta\zeta_n$ are all $O(1/\sqrt{\log n})$. One can check that $\widetilde{\phi}_n \in W_0^{2,2}(\mathbb{B}_2(0)) \subset W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^4)$. Straightforward calculations show that

$$||\widetilde{\phi}_n||_2^2 = O(1/\log n), \ ||\nabla\widetilde{\phi}_n||_2^2 = O(1/\log n), \ ||\Delta\widetilde{\phi}_n||_2^2 = 1 + O(1/\log n)$$

and thus

$$||\widetilde{\phi}_n||_E^2 = 1 + O(1/\log n).$$

Set

$$\phi_n(x) = \frac{\widetilde{\phi}_n(x)}{||\widetilde{\phi}_n||_E}$$

so that $||\phi_n||_E = 1$. It is not difficult to see that

$$\phi_n^2(x) \geq \frac{\log n}{8\pi^2} + O(1) \quad \text{for} \quad |x| \leq 1/n. \tag{3.14}$$

Lemma 3.4. *Assume* (H_2) *and* (H_3). *There exists a sufficiently large* $\nu_0 > 0$ *such that if*

$$\liminf_{s\to+\infty} s f(x,s) e^{-\alpha_0 s^2} > \nu_0$$

uniformly with respect to $x \in \mathbb{R}^4$, *then there exists some* $n \in \mathbb{N}$ *such that*

$$\max_{t\geq 0}\left(\frac{t^2}{2} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \frac{F(x,t\phi_n)}{|x|^\beta} dx\right) < \frac{16\pi^2}{\alpha_0}\left(1 - \frac{\beta}{4}\right).$$

Proof. Suppose by contradiction that for any large $\nu > 0$

$$\liminf_{s\to+\infty} s f(x,s) e^{-\alpha_0 s^2} > \nu \tag{3.15}$$

uniformly with respect to $x \in \mathbb{R}^4$, but for all $n \geq 2$

$$\max_{t\geq 0}\left(\frac{t^2}{2} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \frac{F(x,t\phi_n)}{|x|^\beta} dx\right) \geq \frac{16\pi^2}{\alpha_0}\left(1 - \frac{\beta}{4}\right). \tag{3.16}$$

By (H_2), we have $F(x,t\phi_n) > 0$ and $f(x,t\phi_n) > 0$ when $t\phi_n(x) > 0$. In addition, $F(x,t\phi_n) \geq 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^4$. Furthermore, by (H_3), there exists some constants C_1, R_0 and M_0 such that $F(s) \geq C_1 e^{s/M_0}$ for $s \geq R_0$. Hence we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \frac{F(x,t\phi_n)}{|x|^\beta} dx \geq C_1 \int_{t\phi_n \geq R_0} \frac{e^{t\phi_n/M_0}}{|x|^\beta} dx. \tag{3.17}$$

For each fixed n, one can choose sufficiently large T_n such that if $t \geq T_n$, then $t\phi_n \geq R_0$ on $\mathbb{B}_{R_0}(0)$. Thus for $t \geq T_n$ we have

$$\int_{t\phi_n \geq R_0} \frac{e^{t\phi_n/M_0}}{|x|^\beta} dx \geq \frac{t^3}{6M_0^3} \int_{t\phi_n \geq R_0} \frac{\phi_n^3}{|x|^\beta} dx \geq \frac{t^3}{6M_0^3} \int_{|x| \leq r/n} \frac{\phi_n^3}{|x|^\beta} dx. \tag{3.18}$$

Combining (3.17) and (3.18) we have

$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} \left(\frac{t^2}{2} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \frac{F(x, t\phi_n)}{|x|^\beta} dx \right) = -\infty. \tag{3.19}$$

Since $F(x, 0) = 0$, it follows from (3.16) and (3.19) that there exists $t_n > 0$ such that

$$\frac{t_n^2}{2} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \frac{F(x, t_n\phi_n)}{|x|^\beta} dx = \max_{t \geq 0} \left(\frac{t^2}{2} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \frac{F(x, t\phi_n)}{|x|^\beta} dx \right). \tag{3.20}$$

Clearly there holds at $t = t_n$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{t^2}{2} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \frac{F(x, t\phi_n)}{|x|^\beta} dx \right) = 0.$$

Hence

$$t_n^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \frac{t_n\phi_n f(x, t_n\phi_n)}{|x|^\beta} dx.$$

Now we prove that $\{t_n\}$ is bounded. Suppose not. By (3.14), (3.15), (3.16) and (3.20), there holds for sufficiently large n

$$t_n^2 \geq \frac{\nu}{2} \int_{|x| \leq 1/n} \frac{e^{\alpha_0 (t_n\phi_n)^2}}{|x|^\beta} dx \geq \frac{\nu}{2} \frac{\omega_3}{4-\beta} \frac{1}{n^{4-\beta}} e^{\alpha_0 t_n^2 \left(\frac{\log n}{8\pi^2} + O(1) \right)}, \tag{3.21}$$

where ω_3 is the area of the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^4$. It follows that

$$1 \geq \frac{\nu}{2} \frac{\omega_3}{4-\beta} n^{\alpha_0 t_n^2 \left(\frac{1}{8\pi^2} + o(1) \right) + \beta - 4 - \frac{2}{\log n} \log t_n}.$$

Letting $n \to \infty$, we get a contradiction because the term on the right hand tends to $+\infty$.

Next we prove that $t_n^2 \to \frac{32\pi^2}{\alpha_0} \left(1 - \frac{\beta}{4} \right)$ as $n \to \infty$. By (H_2), $F(x, t_n\phi_n) \geq 0$. It then follows from (3.16) and (3.20) that

$$t_n^2 \geq \frac{32\pi^2}{\alpha_0} \left(1 - \frac{\beta}{4} \right). \tag{3.22}$$

Suppose that $\lim_{n \to \infty} t_n^2 > \frac{32\pi^2}{\alpha_0} \left(1 - \frac{\beta}{4} \right)$. By (3.21) and $\{t_n\}$ is bounded we get a contradiction. Thus we have $t_n^2 \to \frac{32\pi^2}{\alpha_0} \left(1 - \frac{\beta}{4} \right)$ as $n \to \infty$.

By (3.15), there exists some $s_0 > 0$ such that $sf(s)e^{-\alpha_0 s^2} \geq \nu/2$ for all $s \geq s_0$. Since (3.21) holds for sufficiently large n, we have by combining (3.21) and (3.22) that

$$\nu \leq \frac{8}{\omega_3} t_n^2 e^{-\alpha_0 t_n^2 O(1)}.$$

Letting $n \to \infty$, we get $\nu \leq C$ for some constant C depending only on α_0. This contradicts the arbitrary of ν and completes the proof of the lemma. □

3.4. Palais-Smale sequence

In this subsection, we want to show that the weak limit of a Palais-Smale sequence for J_ϵ is a weak solution of (1.14). To this end, we need the following convergence result, which is a generalization of Lemma 2.1 in [14] and Lemma 3.7 in [17].

Lemma 3.5. *Let $f : \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ $(N \geq 1)$ be a measurable function. Assume for any $\eta > 0$, there exists some constant c depending only on η such that $|f(x,s)| \leq c|s|$ for all $(x,s) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times [-\eta, \eta]$. Let $\phi : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ be a nonnegative measurable function, (u_n) be a sequence of functions with $u_n \to u$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$ almost everywhere, $\phi u_n \to \phi u$ strongly in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $\phi f(x,u) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi |f(x,u_n)u_n| dx \leq C \tag{3.23}$$

for all n. Then, up to a subsequence, we have $\phi f(x,u_n) \to \phi f(x,u)$ strongly in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Proof. Since u, $\phi f(x,u) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}})$, we have

$$\lim_{\eta \to +\infty} \int_{|u| \geq \eta} \phi |f(x,u)| dx = 0.$$

Let C be the constant in (3.23). Given any $\epsilon > 0$, one can select some $M > C/\epsilon$ such that

$$\int_{|u| \geq M} \phi |f(x,u)| dx < \epsilon. \tag{3.24}$$

It follows from (3.23) that

$$\int_{|u_n| \geq M} \phi |f(x,u_n)| dx \leq \frac{1}{M} \int_{|u_n| \geq M} \phi |f(x,u_n)u_n| dx < \epsilon. \tag{3.25}$$

For all $x \in \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |u_n| < M\}$, by our assumption, there exists a constant C_1 depending only on M such that $|f(x,u_n(x))| \leq C_1 |u_n(x)|$. Notice that $\phi u_n \to \phi u$ strongly in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $u_n \to u$ almost everywhere in $\mathbb{R}^N$. By a generalized Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, up to a subsequence we obtain

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{|u_n| < M} \phi |f(x,u_n)| dx = \int_{|u| < M} \phi |f(x,u)| dx. \tag{3.26}$$

Combining (3.24), (3.25) and (3.26), we can find some $K > 0$ such that when $n > K$,

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi |f(x,u_n)| dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi |f(x,u)| dx \right| < 3\epsilon.$$

Hence $\|\phi f(x,u_n)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)} \to \|\phi f(x,u)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)}$ as $n \to \infty$. Since $\phi u_n \to \phi u$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$ almost everywhere, we get the desired result. □

Lemma 3.6. *Assume (A_1) and (A_2). Then we have the compact embedding*

$$E \hookrightarrow L^q(\mathbb{R}^4) \quad \text{for all} \quad q \geq 1.$$

Proof. By (A_1), the Sobolev embedding theorem implies the following continuous embedding

$$E \hookrightarrow W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^4) \hookrightarrow L^q(\mathbb{R}^4) \quad \text{for all} \quad 2 \le q < \infty.$$

It follows from the Hölder inequality and (A_2) that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} |u| dx \le \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \frac{1}{b(x)} dx \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} b(x) u^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \le \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \frac{1}{b(x)} dx \right)^{1/2} \|u\|_E.$$

For any $\gamma : 1 < \gamma < 2$, there holds

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} |u|^\gamma dx \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} (|u| + u^2) dx \le \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \frac{1}{b(x)} dx \right)^{1/2} \|u\|_E + \frac{1}{b_0} \|u\|_E^2,$$

where b_0 is given by (A_1). Thus we get continuous embedding $E \hookrightarrow L^q(\mathbb{R}^4)$ for all $q \ge 1$.

To prove that the above embedding is also compact, take a sequence of functions $(u_k) \subset E$ such that $\|u_k\|_E \le C$ for all k, we must prove that up to a subsequence there exists some $u \in E$ such that u_k convergent to u strongly in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^4)$ for all $q \ge 1$. Without loss of generality, up to a subsequence, we may assume

$$\begin{cases} u_k \rightharpoonup u & \text{weakly in} \quad E \\ u_k \to u & \text{strongly in} \quad L^q_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^4), \ \forall q \ge 1 \\ u_k \to u & \text{almost everywhere in} \quad \mathbb{R}^4. \end{cases} \tag{3.27}$$

In view of (A_2), for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $R > 0$ such that

$$\left(\int_{|x|>R} \frac{1}{b} dx \right)^{1/2} < \epsilon.$$

Hence

$$\int_{|x|>R} |u_k - u| dx \le \left(\int_{|x|>R} \frac{1}{b} dx \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{|x|>R} b |u_k - u| dx \right)^{1/2} \le \epsilon \|u_k - u\|_E \le C\epsilon. \tag{3.28}$$

On the other hand, it follows from (3.27) that $u_k \to u$ strongly in $L^1(\mathbb{B}_R)$. This together with (3.28) gives

$$\limsup_{k\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} |u_k - u| dx \le C\epsilon.$$

Since ϵ is arbitrary, we obtain

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} |u_k - u| dx = 0.$$

For $q > 1$, it follows from the continuous embedding $E \hookrightarrow L^s(\mathbb{R}^4)$ $(s \ge 1)$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} |u_k - u|^q dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} |u_k - u|^{\frac{1}{2}} |u_k - u|^{(q-\frac{1}{2})} dx \\ &\le \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} |u_k - u| dx \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} |u_k - u|^{2q-1} dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &\le C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} |u_k - u| dx \right)^{1/2} \to 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $k \to \infty$. This concludes the lemma. ☐

Lemma 3.7. *Assume that* (A_1), (A_2), (H_1), (H_2) *and* (H_3) *are satisfied. Let* $(u_n) \subset E$ *be an arbitrary Palais-Smale sequence of* J_ϵ*, i.e.,*

$$J_\epsilon(u_n) \to c, \ J'_\epsilon(u_n) \to 0 \text{ in } E^* \text{ as } n \to \infty. \tag{3.29}$$

Then there exist a subsequence of (u_n) *(still denoted by* (u_n)*) and* $u \in E$ *such that* $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ *weakly in* E, $u_n \to u$ *strongly in* $L^q(\mathbb{R}^4)$ *for all* $q \geq 1$*, and*

$$\begin{cases} \frac{f(x,u_n)}{|x|^\beta} \to \frac{f(x,u)}{|x|^\beta} \text{ strongly in } L^1(\mathbb{R}^4) \\ \frac{F(x,u_n)}{|x|^\beta} \to \frac{F(x,u)}{|x|^\beta} \text{ strongly in } L^1(\mathbb{R}^4). \end{cases}$$

Furthermore u is a weak solution of (1.14).

Proof. Assume (u_n) is a Palais-Smale sequence of J_ϵ. By (3.29), we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^4}\left(|\Delta u_n|^2 + a|\nabla u_n|^2 + bu_n^2\right)dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^4}\frac{F(x,u_n)}{|x|^\beta}dx - \epsilon\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} hu_n dx \to c \text{ as } n \to \infty, \tag{3.30}$$

$$\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^4}(\Delta u_n \Delta\psi + a\nabla u_n \nabla\psi + bu_n\psi)dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^4}\frac{f(x,u_n)}{|x|^\beta}\psi dx - \epsilon\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} h\psi dx\right| \leq \tau_n\|\psi\|_E \tag{3.31}$$

for all $\psi \in E$, where $\tau_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. By (H_2), $0 \leq \mu F(x,u_n) \leq u_n f(x,u_n)$ for some $\mu > 2$. Taking $\psi = u_n$ in (3.31) and multiplying (3.30) by μ, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\mu}{2} - 1\right)\|u_n\|_E^2 &\leq \left(\frac{\mu}{2} - 1\right)\|u_n\|_E^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^4}\frac{\mu F(x,u_n) - f(x,u_n)u_n}{|x|^\beta}dx \\ &\leq \mu|c| + \tau_n\|u_n\|_E + (\mu+1)\epsilon\|h\|_{E^*}\|u_n\|_E \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\|u_n\|_E$ is bounded. It then follows from (3.30), (3.31) that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^4}\frac{f(x,u_n)u_n}{|x|^\beta}dx \leq C, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^4}\frac{F(x,u_n)}{|x|^\beta}dx \leq C.$$

Notice that $f(x,u_n)u_n \geq 0$ and $F(x,u_n) \geq 0$. By Lemma 3.6, up to a subsequence, $u_n \to u$ strongly in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^4)$ for some $u \in E$, $\forall q \geq 1$. Then we obtain by applying Lemma 3.5 (here $N = 4$ and $\phi = |x|^{-\beta}$),

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}^4}\frac{|f(x,\ u_n) - f(x,\ u)|}{|x|^\beta}dx = 0. \tag{3.32}$$

By (H_1) and (H_3), there exist constants c_1, $c_2 > 0$ such that

$$F(x,u_n) \leq c_1 u_n^2 + c_2|f(x,u_n)|.$$

In view of (3.32) and Lemma 3.6, it follows from the generalized Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}^4}\frac{|F(x,\ u_n) - F(x,\ u)|}{|x|^\beta}dx = 0.$$

Finally passing to the limit $n \to \infty$ in (3.31), we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^4}(\Delta u\Delta\psi + a\nabla u\nabla\psi + bu\psi)\,dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^4}\frac{f(x,u)}{|x|^\beta}\psi dx - \epsilon\int_{\mathbb{R}^4}h\psi dx = 0$$

for all $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4)$, which is dense in E. Hence u is a weak solution of (1.14). ☐

3.5. Nontrivial solution

In this subsection, we will prove Theorem 1.3. It suffices to prove that the functional J has a nontrivial critical point in the function space E.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Notice that J_ϵ becomes J when $\epsilon = 0$. By Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2, J satisfies all the hypothesis of the mountain-pass theorem except for the Palais-Smale condition: $J \in C^1(E, \mathbb{R})$; $J(0) = 0$; $J(u) \geq \delta > 0$ when $\|u\|_E = r$; $J(e) < 0$ for some $e \in E$ with $\|e\|_E > r$. Then using the mountain-pass theorem without the Palais-Smale condition [30], we can find a sequence (u_n) of E such that

$$J(u_n) \to c > 0, \quad J'(u_n) \to 0 \text{ in } E^*,$$

where

$$c = \min_{\gamma\in\Gamma}\max_{u\in\gamma} J(u) \geq \delta$$

is the mountain-pass level of J, where $\Gamma = \{\gamma \in C([0,1], E) : \gamma(0) = 0, \gamma(1) = e\}$. This is equivalent to saying

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^4}\left(|\Delta u_n|^2 + a|\nabla u_n|^2 + bu_n^2\right)dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^4}\frac{F(x,u_n)}{|x|^\beta}dx \to c \text{ as } n \to \infty, \tag{3.33}$$

$$\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^4}(\Delta u_n\Delta\psi + a\nabla u_n\nabla\psi + bu_n\psi)dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^4}\frac{f(x,u_n)}{|x|^\beta}\psi dx\right| \leq \tau_n\|\psi\|_E \tag{3.34}$$

for $\psi \in E$, where $\tau_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. By Lemma 3.7 with $\epsilon = 0$, up to a subsequence, there holds

$$\begin{cases} u_n \rightharpoonup u \text{ weakly in } E \\ u_n \to u \text{ strongly in } L^q(\mathbb{R}^4),\ \forall q \geq 1 \\ \lim\limits_{n\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}^4}\frac{F(x,u_n)}{|x|^\beta}dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^4}\frac{F(x,u)}{|x|^\beta}dx \\ u \text{ is a weak solution of (1.20).} \end{cases} \tag{3.35}$$

Now suppose $u \equiv 0$. Since $F(x,0) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^4$, it follows from (3.33) and (3.35) that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\|u_n\|_E^2 = 2c > 0. \tag{3.36}$$

Thanks to the hypothesis (H_4), we have $0 < c < 16\pi^2/\alpha_0$ by applying Lemma 3.4. Thus there exists some $\epsilon_0 > 0$ and $N > 0$ such that $\|u_n\|_E^2 \leq 32\pi^2/\alpha_0 - \epsilon_0$ for all $n > N$. Choose $q > 1$ sufficiently close to 1 such that $q\alpha_0\|u_n\|_E^2 \leq 32\pi^2 - \alpha_0\epsilon_0/2$ for all $n > N$. By (H_1),

$$|f(x,u_n)u_n| \leq b_1u_n^2 + b_2|u_n|^{1+\gamma}\left(e^{\alpha_0u_n^2} - 1\right).$$

It follows from the Hölder inequality, Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 1.1 that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^4}\frac{|f(x,u_n)u_n|}{|x|^\beta}dx &\leq b_1\int_{\mathbb{R}^4}\frac{u_n^2}{|x|^\beta}dx + b_2\int_{\mathbb{R}^4}\frac{|u_n|^{1+\gamma}\left(e^{\alpha_0u_n^2}-1\right)}{|x|^\beta}dx \\ &\leq b_1\int_{\mathbb{R}^4}\frac{u_n^2}{|x|^\beta}dx + b_2\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^4}\frac{|u_n|^{(1+\gamma)q'}}{|x|^\beta}dx\right)^{1/q'}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^4}\frac{\left(e^{\alpha_0u_n^2}-1\right)^q}{|x|^\beta}dx\right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq b_1\int_{\mathbb{R}^4}\frac{u_n^2}{|x|^\beta}dx + C\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^4}\frac{|u_n|^{(1+\gamma)q'}}{|x|^\beta}dx\right)^{1/q'} \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty.\end{aligned}$$

Here we also used (3.35) (precisely $u_n \to u$ in $L^s(\mathbb{R}^4)$ for all $s \geq 1$) in the last step of the above estimates. Inserting this into (3.34) with $\psi = u_n$, we have

$$\|u_n\|_E \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty,$$

which contradicts (3.36). Therefore $u \not\equiv 0$ and we obtain a nontrivial weak solution of (1.20). □

3.6. *Proof of Theorem 1.4*

The proof of Theorem 1.4 is similar to the first part of that of Theorem 1.3. By Theorem 1.1, Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2, there exists $\epsilon_1 > 0$ such that when $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_1$, J_ϵ satisfies all the hypothesis of the mountain-pass theorem except for the Palais-Smale condition: $J_\epsilon \in C^1(E, \mathbb{R})$; $J_\epsilon(0) = 0$; $J_\epsilon(u) \geq \vartheta_\epsilon > 0$ when $\|u\|_E = r_\epsilon$; $J_\epsilon(e) < 0$ for some $e \in E$ with $\|e\|_E > r_\epsilon$. Then using the mountain-pass theorem without the Palais-Smale condition, we can find a sequence (u_n) of E such that

$$J_\epsilon(u_n) \to c > 0, \quad J'_\epsilon(u_n) \to 0 \text{ in } E^*,$$

where

$$c = \min_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \max_{u\in\gamma} J_\epsilon(u) \geq \vartheta_\epsilon$$

is the mountain-pass level of J_ϵ, where $\Gamma = \{\gamma \in C([0,1], E) : \gamma(0) = 0, \gamma(1) = e\}$. By Lemma 3.7, there exists a subsequence of (u_n) converges weakly to a solution of (1.14) in E. □

3.7. *A weak solution with negative energy*

In this subsection, we will prove Theorem 1.5 by using the Ekeland's variational principle [37]. Let us first give two technical lemmas.

Lemma 3.8. *Assume (A_1) holds. If (u_n) is a sequence in E such that*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|u_n\|_E^2 < \frac{32\pi^2}{\alpha_0}\left(1 - \frac{\beta}{4}\right), \tag{3.37}$$

then $(e^{\alpha_0 u_n^2} - 1)/|x|^\beta$ is bounded in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^4)$ for some $q > 1$.

Proof. By (A_1), we have

$$\|u_n\|_E^2 \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \left(|\Delta u_n|^2 + a_0|\nabla u_n|^2 + b_0 u_n^2\right) dx.$$

Denote $v_n = u_n/\|u_n\|_E$. Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \left(|\Delta v_n|^2 + a_0|\nabla v_n|^2 + b_0 v_n^2\right) dx \leq 1.$$

By Theorem 1.1, for any $\alpha < 32\pi^2(1 - \beta/4)$, $0 \leq \beta < 4$, there holds

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \frac{e^{\alpha v_n^2} - 1}{|x|^\beta} dx \leq C(\alpha, \beta). \tag{3.38}$$

By (3.37), one can choose $q > 1$ sufficiently close to 1 such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \alpha_0 q \|u_n\|_E^2 < 32\pi^2(1 - \beta q/4). \tag{3.39}$$

Combining Lemma 2.1, (3.38) and (3.39), we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \frac{(e^{\alpha_0 u_n^2} - 1)^q}{|x|^{\beta q}} dx \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \frac{e^{\alpha_0 q \|u_n\|_E^2 v_n^2} - 1}{|x|^{\beta q}} dx \le C$$

for some constant C. Thus $(e^{\alpha_0 u_n^2} - 1)/|x|^\beta$ is bounded in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^4)$. □

Lemma 3.9. *Assume* (A_1), (A_2), (H_1) *are satisfied and* (u_n) *is a Palais-Smale sequence for* J_ϵ *at any level with*

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \|u_n\|_E^2 < \frac{32\pi^2}{\alpha_0}\left(1 - \frac{\beta}{4}\right). \tag{3.40}$$

Then (u_n) *has a subsequence converging strongly to a solution of (1.14).*

Proof. By (3.40), up to a subsequence, (u_n) is bounded in E. In view of Lemma 3.6, without loss of generality we can assume

$$\begin{cases} u_n \rightharpoonup u_0 & \text{weakly in} \quad E \\ u_n \to u_0 & \text{strongly in} \quad L^q(\mathbb{R}^4), \ \forall q \ge 1 \\ u_n \to u_0 & \text{almost everywhere in} \quad \mathbb{R}^4. \end{cases} \tag{3.41}$$

Since (u_n) is a Palais-Smale sequence for J_ϵ, we have $J'_\epsilon(u_n) \to 0$ in E^*, particularly

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} (\Delta u_n \Delta(u_n - u_0) + a\nabla u_n \nabla(u_n - u_0) + b u_n(u_n - u_0))\, dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \frac{f(x, u_n)(u_n - u_0)}{|x|^\beta} dx - \epsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} h(u_n - u_0) dx \to 0 \end{aligned} \tag{3.42}$$

as $n \to \infty$. In view of (3.41), we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} (\Delta u_0 \Delta(u_n - u_0) + a\nabla u_0 \nabla(u_n - u_0) + b u_0(u_n - u_0))\, dx \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty. \tag{3.43}$$

Subtracting (3.43) from (3.42), we obtain

$$\|u_n - u_0\|_E^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \frac{f(x, u_n)(u_n - u_0)}{|x|^\beta} dx + \epsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} h(u_n - u_0) dx + o(1). \tag{3.44}$$

In view of (H_1) and (3.40), one can see from Lemma 3.8 that $f(x, u_n)$ is bounded in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^4)$ for some $q > 1$ sufficiently close to 1. It then follows from (3.41) and the Hölder inequality that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \frac{f(x, u_n)(u_n - u_0)}{|x|^\beta} dx + \epsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} h(u_n - u_0) dx \to 0$$

as $n \to \infty$. Inserting this into (3.44), we conclude $u_n \to u_0$ strongly in E. Since $J_\epsilon \in C^1(E, \mathbb{R})$, u_0 is a weak solution of (1.14). □

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Let r_ϵ be as in Lemma 3.2, namely $J_\epsilon(u) > 0$ for all $u : \|u\|_E = r_\epsilon$ with $r_\epsilon \to 0$ as $\epsilon \to 0$. One can choose $\epsilon_2 : 0 < \epsilon_2 < \epsilon_1$ such that when $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_2$,

$$r_\epsilon < \frac{32\pi^2}{\alpha_0}\left(1 - \frac{\beta}{4}\right). \tag{3.45}$$

Lemma 3.8 together with (H_1) and (H_2) implies that $J_\epsilon(u) \geq -C$ for all $u \in \overline{B}_{r_\epsilon} = \{u \in E : \|u\|_E \leq r_\epsilon\}$, where r_ϵ is given by (3.45). On the other hand thanks to Lemma 3.3, there holds $\inf_{\|u\|_E \leq r_\epsilon} J_\epsilon(u) < 0$. Since $\overline{B}_{r_\epsilon}$ is a complete metric space with the metric given by the norm of E, convex and the functional J_ϵ is of class C^1 and bounded below on $\overline{B}_{r_\epsilon}$, thanks to the Ekeland's variational principle, there exists some sequence $(u_n) \subset \overline{B}_{r_\epsilon}$ such that

$$J_\epsilon(u_n) \to c_0 = \inf_{\|u\|_E \leq r_\epsilon} J_\epsilon(u),$$

and

$$J'_\epsilon(u_n) \to 0 \quad \text{in} \quad E^*$$

as $n \to \infty$. Observing that $\|u_n\|_E \leq r_\epsilon$, in view of (3.45) and Lemma 3.9, we conclude that there exists a subsequence of (u_n) which converges to a solution u_0 of (1.14) strongly in E. Therefore $J_\epsilon(u_0) = c_0 < 0$. ☐

3.8. Multiplicity results

In this subsection, we will show that two solutions obtained in Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.5 are distinct under some assumptions, i.e., Theorem 1.6 holds. We need the following technical lemma:

Lemma 3.10. *Let (w_n) be a sequence in E. Suppose $\|w_n\|_E = 1$ and $w_n \rightharpoonup w_0$ weakly in E. Then for any $0 < p < \frac{1}{1-\|w_0\|_E^2}$*

$$\sup_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \frac{e^{32\pi^2(1-\beta/4)pw_n^2} - 1}{|x|^\beta} dx < \infty. \tag{3.46}$$

Proof. Since $w_n \rightharpoonup w_0$ weakly in E and $\|w_n\|_E = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|w_n - w_0\|_E^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \left(|\Delta(w_n - w_0)|^2 + a(x)|\nabla(w_n - w_0)|^2 + b(x)(w_n - w_0)^2\right) dx \\ &= 1 + \|w_0\|_E^2 - 2\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} (\Delta w_n \Delta w_0 + a(x)\nabla w_n \nabla w_0 + b(x) w_n w_0)\, dx \\ &\to 1 - \|w_0\|_E^2 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{3.47}$$

If $w_0 \equiv 0$, then (3.46) is a consequence of Theorem 1.1. If $w_0 \not\equiv 0$, using the Hölder inequality, Lemma 2.1, Theorem 1.1 and the inequality

$$rs - 1 \leq \frac{1}{\mu}(r^\mu - 1) + \frac{1}{\nu}(s^\nu - 1),$$

where $r \geq 0, s \geq 0, \mu > 1, \nu > 1, 1/\mu + 1/\nu = 1$, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \frac{e^{32\pi^2(1-\beta/4)pw_n^2} - 1}{|x|^\beta} dx &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \frac{e^{32\pi^2(1-\beta/4)p\left((1+\epsilon)(w_n-w_0)^2+(1+\epsilon^{-1})w_0^2\right)} - 1}{|x|^\beta} dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \frac{e^{32\pi^2(1-\beta/4)qp(1+\epsilon)(w_n-w_0)^2} - 1}{|x|^\beta} dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{q'} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \frac{e^{32\pi^2(1-\beta/4)q'p(1+\epsilon^{-1})w_0^2} - 1}{|x|^\beta} dx, \end{aligned} \tag{3.48}$$

where $1/q + 1/q' = 1$. Assume $0 < p < 1/(1 - \|w_0\|_E^2)$. By (3.47), we can choose q sufficiently close to 1 and $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small such that

$$qp(1 + \epsilon)\|w_n - w_0\|_E^2 < 1$$

for large n. Recall that $\|u\|_E^2 \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^4}(|\Delta u|^2 + a_0|\nabla u|^2 + b_0 u^2)dx$. Applying Theorem 1.1, we conclude the lemma from (3.48). ☐

We remark that similar results were obtained in [25] for bi-Laplacian on bounded smooth domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^4$ and in [17] for Laplacian on the whole $\mathbb{R}^2$.

Proof of Theorem 1.6. According to Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.5, under the assumptions of Theorem 1.6, there exist sequences (v_n) and (u_n) in E such that as $n \to \infty$,

$$v_n \rightharpoonup u_M \text{ weakly in } E, \quad J_\epsilon(v_n) \to c_M > 0, \quad |\langle J'_\epsilon(v_n), \phi\rangle| \leq \gamma_n \|\phi\|_E \tag{3.49}$$

$$u_n \to u_0 \text{ strongly in } E, \quad J_\epsilon(u_n) \to c_0 < 0, \quad |\langle J'_\epsilon(u_n), \phi\rangle| \leq \tau_n \|\phi\|_E \tag{3.50}$$

with $\gamma_n \to 0$ and $\tau_n \to 0$, both u_M and u_0 are nonzero weak solutions to (1.14) since $h \not\equiv 0$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Suppose $u_M = u_0$. Then $v_n \rightharpoonup u_0$ weakly in E and thus

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} (\Delta v_n \Delta u_0 + a\nabla v_n \nabla u_0 + b v_n u_0)\, dx \to \|u_0\|_E^2$$

as $n \to \infty$. Using the Hölder inequality, we obtain

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \|v_n\|_E \geq \|u_0\|_E > 0.$$

On one hand, by Lemma 3.7, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \frac{F(x, v_n)}{|x|^\beta} dx \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \frac{F(x, u_0)}{|x|^\beta} dx \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty. \tag{3.51}$$

Here and in the sequel, we do not distinguish sequence and subsequence. On the other hand, it follows from Theorem 1.4 that $\|v_n\|_E$ is bounded. In view of Lemma 3.6, it holds

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} h v_n dx \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} h u_0 dx. \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty. \tag{3.52}$$

Inserting (3.51) and (3.52) into (3.49), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\|v_n\|_E^2 = c_M + \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \frac{F(x, u_0)}{|x|^\beta} dx + \epsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} h u_0 dx + o(1), \tag{3.53}$$

where $o(1) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. In the same way, one can derive

$$\frac{1}{2}\|u_n\|_E^2 = c_0 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \frac{F(x,u_0)}{|x|^\beta}dx + \epsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} hu_0 dx + o(1). \tag{3.54}$$

Combining (3.53) and (3.54), we have

$$\|v_n\|_E^2 - \|u_0\|_E^2 = 2\left(c_M - c_0 + o(1)\right). \tag{3.55}$$

Now we need to estimate $c_M - c_0$. By Lemma 3.4, there holds for sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\max_{t\geq 0} J_\epsilon(t\phi_n) < \frac{16\pi^2}{\alpha_0}\left(1 - \frac{\beta}{4}\right).$$

Since c_M is the mountain-pass level of J_ϵ, we have

$$c_M < \frac{16\pi^2}{\alpha_0}\left(1 - \frac{\beta}{4}\right).$$

From the proof of Lemma 3.3, we know that $c_0 \to 0$ as $\epsilon \to 0$ (c_0 depends on ϵ). Noting that $c_M > 0$ and $c_0 < 0$, we obtain for sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$,

$$0 < c_M - c_0 < \frac{16\pi^2}{\alpha_0}\left(1 - \frac{\beta}{4}\right). \tag{3.56}$$

Write

$$w_n = \frac{v_n}{\|v_n\|_E}, \quad w_0 = \frac{u_0}{\left(\|u_0\|_E^2 + 2(c_M - c_0)\right)^{1/2}}.$$

It follows from (3.55) and $v_n \rightharpoonup u_0$ weakly in E that $w_n \rightharpoonup w_0$ weakly in E. Notice that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \frac{e^{\alpha_0 v_n^2} - 1}{|x|^\beta}dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \frac{e^{\alpha_0 \|v_n\|_E^2 w_n^2} - 1}{|x|^\beta}dx.$$

By (3.55) and (3.56), a straightforward calculation shows

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \alpha_0 \|v_n\|_E^2 (1 - \|w_0\|_E^2) < 32\pi^2\left(1 - \frac{\beta}{4}\right).$$

Whence Lemma 3.10 implies that $e^{\alpha_0 v_n^2}$ is bounded in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^4)$ for some $q > 1$. By (H_1),

$$|f(x,v_n)| \leq b_1|v_n| + b_2|v_n|^\gamma\left(e^{\alpha_0 v_n^2} - 1\right).$$

Then the Hölder inequality and the continuous embedding $E \hookrightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^4)$ for all $p \geq 1$ imply that $f(x,v_n)/|x|^\beta$ is bounded in $L^{q_1}(\mathbb{R}^4)$ for some q_1: $1 < q_1 < q$. This together with Lemma 3.6 and the Hölder inequality gives

$$\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \frac{f(x,v_n)(v_n - u_0)}{|x|^\beta}dx\right| \leq \left\|\frac{f(x,v_n)}{|x|^\beta}\right\|_{L^{q_1}(\mathbb{R}^4)} \|v_n - u_0\|_{L^{q_1'}(\mathbb{R}^4)} \to 0, \tag{3.57}$$

where $1/q_1 + 1/q_1' = 1$.

Taking $\phi = v_n - u_0$ in (3.49), we have by using (3.57) and Lemma 3.6 that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} (\Delta v_n \Delta(v_n - u_0) + a\nabla v_n \nabla(v_n - u_0) + bv_n(v_n - u_0))\, dx \to 0. \tag{3.58}$$

However the fact $v_n \rightharpoonup u_0$ weakly in E implies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} (\Delta u_0 \Delta(v_n - u_0) + a\nabla u_0 \nabla(v_n - u_0) + bu_0(v_n - u_0))\, dx \to 0. \tag{3.59}$$

Subtracting (3.59) from (3.58), we have $\|v_n - u_0\|_E^2 \to 0$. Since $J_\epsilon \in C^1(E, \mathbb{R})$, we have

$$J_\epsilon(v_n) \to J_\epsilon(u_0) = c_0,$$

which contradicts $J_\epsilon(v_n) \to c_M > c_0$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.6. □

References

[1] S. Adachi, K. Tanaka, Trundinger type inequalities in $\mathbb{R}^N$ and their best exponents, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 128 (2000) 2051-2057.

[2] D. Adams, A sharp inequality of J. Moser for higher order derivatives, Ann. Math. 128 (1988) 385-398.

[3] Adimurthi, Existence of positive solutions of the semilinear Dirichlet Problem with critical growth for the N-Laplacian, Ann. Sc. Norm. Sup. Pisa XVII (1990) 393-413.

[4] Adimurthi, K. Sandeep, A singular Moser-Trudinger embedding and its applications, Nonlinear Differ. Equ. Appl. 13 (2007) 585-603.

[5] Adimurthi, S. L. Yadava, Critical exponent problem in $\mathbb{R}^2$ with neumann boundary condition, Commun. Partial Differential Equations 15 (1990) 461-501.

[6] Adimurthi, S. L. Yadava, Multiplicity results for semilinear elliptic equations in a bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^2$ involving critical exponent, Ann. Sc. Norm. Sup. Pisa XVII (1990) 481-504.

[7] Adimurthi, P. Srikanth, S. L. Yadava, Phenomena of critical exponent in $\mathbb{R}^2$, Proc. Royal Soc. Edinb. 119A (1991) 19-25.

[8] Adimurthi, Y. Yang, An interpolation of Hardy inequality and Trudinger-Moser inequality in $\mathbb{R}^N$ and its applications, International Mathematics Research Notices 13 (2010) 2394-2426.

[9] C. O. Alves, G. M. Figueiredo, On multiplicity and concentration of positive solutions for a class of quasilinear problems with critical exponential growth in $\mathbb{R}^N$, J. Differential Equations 246 (2009) 1288-1311.

[10] F. Atkinson, L. Peletier, Ground states and Dirichlet problems for $-\Delta u = f(u)$ in $\mathbb{R}^2$, Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis 96 (1986) 147-165.

[11] D. Cao, Nontrivial solution of semilinear elliptic equations with critical exponent in $\mathbb{R}^2$, Commun. Partial Differential Equations 17 (1992) 407-435.

[12] L. Carleson, A. Chang, On the existence of and extremal function for an inequality of J. Moser, Bull. Sc. Math 110 (1986) 113-127.

[13] D. G. de Figueiredo, J. M. do Ó, B. Ruf, On an inequality by N. Trudinger and J. Moser and related elliptic equations, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. LV (2002) 135-152.

[14] D. G. de Figueiredo, O. H. Miyagaki, B. Ruf, Elliptic equations in $\mathbb{R}^2$ with nonlinearities in the critical growth range, Calc. Var. 3 (1995) 139-153.

[15] J. M. do Ó, N-Laplacian equations in $\mathbb{R}^N$ with critical growth, Abstr. Appl. Anal. 2 (1997) 301-315.

[16] J. M. do Ó, Semilinear Dirichlet problems for the N-Laplacian in $\mathbb{R}^N$ with nonlinearities in the critical growth range, Differential and Integral Equations 9 (1996) 967-979.

[17] J. M. do Ó, M. de Souza, On a class of singular Trudinger-Moser type inequalities and its applications, To appear in Mathematische Nachrichten.

[18] J. M. do Ó, E. Medeiros, U. Severo, On a quasilinear nonhomogeneous elliptic equation with critical growth in $\mathbb{R}^N$, J. Differential Equations 246 (2009) 1363-1386.

[19] J. M. do Ó, Y. Yang, A quasi-linear elliptic equation with critical growth on compact Riemannian manifold without boundary, Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom. 38 (2010) 317-334.

[20] D. Gilbarg, N. Trudinger, Elliptic partial differential equations of second order, Springer, 2001.

[21] G. Hardy, J. Littlewood, G. Polya, Inequalities. Cambridge University Press, 1952.

[22] Kavian, Introduction á la Théorie des Points Critiques et Applications aux Problés elliptiques, Mathématiques et Applications, Springer, 1993.

[23] N. Lam, G. Lu, Existence of nontrivial solutions to polyharmonic equations with subcritical and critical exponential growth, Preprint.

[24] Y. Li, B. Ruf, A sharp Trudinger-Moser type inequality for unbounded domains in $\mathbb{R}^N$, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 57 (2008) 451-480.

[25] G. Lu, Y. Yang, Adams' inequalities for bi-Laplacian and extremal functions in dimension four, Advances in Math. 220 (2009) 1135-1170.

[26] J. Moser, A sharp form of an Inequality by N.Trudinger, Ind. Univ. Math. J. 20 (1971) 1077-1091.

[27] R. Panda, Nontrivial solution of a quasilinear elliptic equation with critical growth in $\mathbb{R}^n$, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci.) 105 (1995) 425-444.

[28] R. Panda, On semilinear Neumann problems with critical growth for the N-Laplacian, Nonlinear Anal. 26 (1996) 1347-1366.

[29] S. Pohozaev, The Sobolev embedding in the special case $pl = n$. Proceedings of the technical scientific conference on advances of scientific reseach 1964-1965, Mathematics sections, 158-170, Moscov. Energet. Inst., Moscow, 1965.

[30] P. H. Rabinowitz: On a class of nonlinear Schrödinger equations, Z. Angew. Math. Phys. 43 (1992) 270-291.

[31] B. Ruf, A sharp Trudinger-Moser type inequality for unbounded domains in $\mathbb{R}^2$, J. Funct. Anal. 219 (2005) 340-367.

[32] B. Ruf, F. Sani, Sharp Adams-type inequalities in $\mathbb{R}^n$. To appear in Trans. Amer. Math. Soc..

[33] E. Silva, S. Soares, Liouville-Gelfand type problems for the N-Laplacian on bounded domains of $\mathbb{R}^N$, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. XXVIII (1999) 1-30.

[34] C. Tarsi, Adams' Inequality and Limiting Sobolev Embeddings into Zygmund Spaces, Preprint.

[35] G. Trombetti, J. L. Vázquez, A Symmetrization Result for Elliptic Equations with Lower Order Terms, Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. 7 (1985) 137-150.

[36] N. S. Trudinger, On embeddings into Orlicz spaces and some applications, J. Math. Mech. 17 (1967) 473-484.

[37] M. Willem: Minimax theorems. Birkhäuser, 1996.

[38] Y. Yang and L. Zhao: A class of Adams-Fontana type inequalities and related functionals on manifolds, Nonlinear Differential Equations and Applications 17 (2010) 119-135.